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## PREFACE

Gender equality ensures that every individual gets equal rights and opportunities irrespective of their gender in political, economic, social, health and educational arenas. A society can progress with its maximum capability only when people are not denied opportunities based on gender. Therefore, the conversation on gender equality is pertinent and need of the hour.

Gender equality is a global issue and its awareness helps people to move beyond the stereotypes of gender. This gender audit helps to understand the gender patterns within the structures and processes of the institution. It also gives the institution an idea to make the college campus a safer space for all. The aim of the gender audit was to promote inclusivity and equality.
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## CHAPTER 1

## Gender Audit: The Concept

St. Andrew's College located in Bandra, Mumbai's Queen of the suburbs is a leading college and has been accredited with an 'A' Grade by NAAC (National Assessment and Accreditation Council) and the Maharashtra Board. Our goal is to develop the intellect and inspire our students to act righteously.Institutions of higher education are at the pinnacle of being demographically democratic on account of thegrowing awareness related to gender equality.

The Gender Audit attempts to understand the gender balance within the institution. It is a tool to help promote gender analysis/assessment in varied roles, rights, needs and opportunities of people in the given context. The college focuses on qualitative performance and overall personality development. Pertaining to this, the college provides different opportunities for girls with numerous facilities keeping the 'gender lens' in mind. It helps to strengthen special attention based on gender equality.

To this end, the objectives of this Gender Audit include:
a) To review and assess the state of the gender equality within the campus for the five NAAC assessment years (2016-2021)
b) To understand the inclusivity based on gender in different forums and activities of the institution.
c) To establish a baseline against which the progress of the institution can be measured over the years.
d) To identifying critical gaps and challenges based on gender and addressing them through recommendations

Through our integrated programme of head and heart we hope to reform, create and develop systems that prepare one to work in a borderless economy and live in a global society.

## CHAPTER 2

## Gender Audit: Data Analysis

## Basic Information

St .Andrew's College of Arts, Science \& Commerce (referred to as the College) is affiliated to the University of Mumbai. The College is located at St. Dominic Road, Bandra (W), Mumbai - 400 050. Telephone No.: 26428684 / 26401657, E-mail address: info@standrewscollege.ac.in
College Web Site: http://standrewscollege.ac.in


The College campus has two main institutions as given under

1. St. Andrew's Junior College offering courses in Arts, Commerce and Science.
2. St. Andrew's Degree College offering three year bachelor degree courses in Arts, Commerce, and several self-financed courses.

Following are the main courses conducted by the College:

## JUNIORCOLLEGE:

Arts\&Commerce
DEGREECOURSES:
> BachelorofArts
$>$ Bachelor ofCommerce
Other Courses (SELFFINANCECOURSES)
$>$ Bachelor of Management Studies
> Bachelor of Accounts \& Finance
$>$ Bachelor of Mass Media
$>$ Bachelor of Science(InformationTechnology)
$>$ Bachelor of Science (HospitalityStudies)
$>$ Bachelorof Banking\&Insurance
$>$ MCom- Business management

The Gender Audit was carried out for Degree College and considered processes under various departments, both academic and administrative.

## GENDER AUDIT: DAT ANALYSLS

Gender wise details of Principal of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Femal <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | Male <br> Femal <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | Male <br> Principal | 01 |
| - | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - |  |  |
| Percentage | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

The chart indicates a female Principal of the college for the assessment years(2016-2021)
Gender wise details of Principal of the College


Graph 1 shows Gender wise details of Principal of the College

Gender wise details of Vice Principals of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male <br> (Aided + Self finance) |
| Vice Principals | - | 02 | - | 02 | - | 02 | - | 02 | - |  |
| Percentage | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ |

The chart records female Vice-Principals since 2016-17.


Graph 2 shows Gender wise details of Vice Principals of the College

Gender wise details of Heads of Departments/ Coordinators of the College

|  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  | 2020-2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male |
| Heads of Departments/Coordinat ors | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| Percentage | 75\% | 25\% | 75\% | 25\% | 75\% | 25\% | 75\% | 25\% | 75\% | 25\% |
| Self-Finance Co-ordinators | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Percentage | $\begin{gathered} 85.7 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.7 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.7 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.7 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2 \\ & 9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.7 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Higher number of female Heads of Department is indicated for both Aided Degree College and Self-Financed Degree College.


Graph 3 shows Gender wise details of Heads of Departments/ Coordinators of the College (Aided Degree College)


Graph 4 shows Gender wise details of Heads of Departments/ Coordinators of the College (Self-Financed Degree College)

Gender wise details of IQAC Coordinators of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ | $2020-2021$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Femal <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | Male <br> IQAC Coordinators <br> 0 |
| Percentage | $100 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

The chart indicates the details of female IQAC Coordinators since 2016-17


Graph 5 shows Gender wise details of IQAC Coordinators of the College

Gender wise details of Criteria Heads of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| Criteria Heads | 7 | - | 7 | - | 7 | - | 7 | - | 6 | 1 |
| Percentage | 100. | 0.00 | 100. | 0.00 | 100. | 0.00 | 100. | 0.00 | 85.7 | 14.2 |
| $\%$ | $00 \%$ | $\%$ | $00 \%$ | $\%$ | $00 \%$ | $\%$ | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ |  |  |

The chart indicates a consistency in the higher ratio of Female Criteria Heads in College.


Graph 6 shows Gender wise details of Criteria Heads of the College

Gender wise details of UNESCO Chair Head of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| UNESCO Chair Head | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - |
| Percentage | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $0 \%$ |

The chart indicates female UNESCO Chair Head of the College since 2016-17


Graph 7 shows Gender wise details of UNESCO Chair Head of the College

Gender wise details of Staff on University Bodies

|  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  | 2020-2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male |
| Staff (Aided + Self Finance) on University Bodies - Academic Council, Board of Studies | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Percentage | $\begin{aligned} & 100 . \\ & 00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 . \\ & 00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.3 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.3 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.3 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ |

The female staff number is higher compared to the male staff according to the Gender wise details of Staff on University Bodies


Graph 8 shows Gender wise details of Staff on University Bodies

Gender wise details of Students who received Government Scholarships of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| Students who received <br> Government <br> Scholarships | 77 | 33 | 100 | 23 | 58 | 35 | 41 | 16 | 40 | 19 |
| Percentage | 70.0 | 30.0 | 81.3 | 18.7 | 62.3 | 37.6 | 71.9 | 28.0 | 67.8 | 32.2 |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

The female students who received Government Scholarships of the College is higher in numbers as compared to the male students who received Government scholarships.


Graph 9 shows Gender wise details of Students who received Government Scholarships of the College

Gender wise details of Student Representative in CDC of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| Student Representative <br> in CDC | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Percentage | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

The female student representatives in CDC of the college are on par with the male student representative.

Gender wise details of Student Representative in CDC of the College


Graph 10 shows Gender wise details of Student Representative in CDC of the College

Gender wise details of Librarian of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male <br> Librarian <br> (Teaching Staff) | 01 |

The chart indicates female librarian since 2016-17.

Gender wise details of Librarian of the College
 2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021

Graph 11 shows Gender wise details of Librarian of the College

Gender wise details of the Registrar of the College

|  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  | 2020-2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male | Fem ale | Male |
| Registrar <br> (Non-Teaching Staff) | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - | 01 | - |
| Percentage | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 0\% |

The chart indicates female registrar of the college since 2016-17.


Graph 12 shows Gender wise details of the Registrar of the College

Gender wise details of DLLE Students of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| DLLE Students | NA | NA | 38 | 99 | 64 | 153 | 80 | 147 | 101 | 21 |
| Percentage | NA | NA | 27.7 <br> $4 \%$ | 72.2 <br> $6 \%$ | 29.4 <br> $9 \%$ | 70.5 <br> $1 \%$ | 35.2 <br> $4 \%$ | 64.7 <br> $6 \%$ | 82.7 <br> $9 \%$ | 17.2 <br> $1 \%$ |

A significant increase in the participation by the female students has been 2020-21 in comparison to the male students.


Graph 13 shows Gender wise details of DLLE Students of the College

Gender wise details of NSS Students of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male <br> NSS Students - <br> COMPLETED 120 <br> HOURS <br> Percentage |
|  | 66.6 <br> $7 \%$ | 33.3 <br> $3 \%$ | 69.5 <br> $7 \%$ | 30.4 <br> $3 \%$ | 61.5 <br> $4 \%$ | 38.4 <br> $6 \%$ | 71.0 <br> $1 \%$ | 28.9 <br> $9 \%$ | 68.3 <br> $3 \%$ | 31.6 <br> $7 \%$ |

Higher female participation and completion of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ hours amongst NSS students.


Graph 14 shows Gender wise details of NSS Students of the College

Details of Girls Participation in Sports of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| Girls Participation <br> in Sports | 30 | 68 | 30 | 30 | 74 | 103 | 64 | 102 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage | 30.6 <br> $1 \%$ | 69.3 <br> $9 \%$ | 50.0 <br> $0 \%$ | 50.0 <br> $0 \%$ | 41.8 <br> $1 \%$ | 58.1 <br> $9 \%$ | 38.5 <br> $5 \%$ | 61.4 <br> $5 \%$ | NA | NA |

* 2020-2021 is a pandemic Year and no tournament were conducted by university of Mumbai

The ratio of boys participating in sports activities are better than girls' participation. Recommending to encourage more girls' participation.


Graph 15 shows Details of Girls Participation in Sports of the College

Details of Girls Participation in Intercollegiate Activities

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| Girls Participation <br> (Winners) in <br> Intercollegiate <br> Activities | 3 | 18 | 28 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 9 |
| Percentage | 14.2 | 85.7 | 56.0 | 44.0 | 46.4 | 53.5 | 42.1 | 57.8 | 25.0 | 75.0 |
| $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

There is a good amount of gender balance of girls participating and winning the inter-collegiate activities.


Graph 16 shows Details of Girls Participation in Intercollegiate Activities

Gender wise details of Under-Graduated students of the College

|  |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  | 2020-2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fema le | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Femal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{Mal} \\ \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | Fema le | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Femal <br> e | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Fema le | Male |
| Under Graduated Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BA | 407 | 135 | 427 | 126 | 396 | 114 | 444 | 122 | 499 | 143 |
|  | BCOM | 541 | 395 | 528 | 411 | 486 | 410 | 479 | 441 | 519 | 489 |
|  | BMS | 218 | 130 | 213 | 139 | 200 | 152 | 206 | 146 | 217 | 148 |
|  | BMM/ <br> BAMMC | 121 | 56 | 113 | 58 | 100 | 58 | 116 | 61 | 113 | 65 |
|  | BAF | 118 | 58 | 124 | 51 | 114 | 59 | 117 | 60 | 107 | 65 |
|  | BBI | 112 | 51 | 102 | 62 | 90 | 71 | 79 | 61 | 75 | 63 |
|  | BSC(IT) | 39 | 95 | 43 | 100 | 36 | 104 | 34 | 92 | 35 | 114 |
|  | BSC(Hos <br> p) | 24 | 116 | 23 | 124 | 24 | 118 | 20 | 119 | 29 | 93 |

The female enrolment is higher than the male enrolment across all streams except BSC (IT) and BSC (Hospitality) throughout the assessment period.

Gender wise details of Under Graduated students BA


Graph 17 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BA)


Graph 18 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BCOM)


Graph 19 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BMS)


Graph 20 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BMM/BAMMC)


Graph 21 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BAF)


Graph 22 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BBI)

Gender wise details of Under Graduated students BSC(IT)


Graph 23 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BSC-IT)


Graph 24 shows Gender wise details of Under Graduate Students (BSCHOSPITALITY)

Gender wise details of Post Graduated students of the College

|  |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  | 2020-2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fema le | Male | Femal <br> e | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Fema le | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Femal <br> e | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mal} \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Femal <br> e | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mal} \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ |
| Post Graduated Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MCOM | 53 | 37 | 38 | 45 | 33 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 24 |
| Percenta ge |  | $\begin{gathered} 58.89 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.1 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.78 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54.2 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.38 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.6 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.85 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.1 \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.00 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.0 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

The average ratio of female students to male students enrolled for a Post Graduate Course in College is higher as shown in the graph except for the year 2018-19.


Graph 25 shows Gender wise details of Post Graduated students of the College

Gender wise details of Student Council of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male |
| Student Council | 15 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 11 |
| Percentage | 65.2 | 34.7 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 73.0 | 26.9 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 59.2 | 40.7 |
| $2 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |

The average ratio of female students to male students in Student Council of College is higher as shown in the graph.

Gender wise details of Student Council


Graph 26 shows Gender wise details of Student Council of the College

Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College

|  |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  | 2020-2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fema le | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Femal <br> e | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Fema le | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Femal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mal } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Fema le | Male |
| Teaching Staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BA | 12 | - | 11 | - | 11 | - | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
|  | BCOM | 10 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 2 |
|  | BMS | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | 6 | - | 5 | 1 |
|  | BMM/ <br> BAMMC | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | BAF | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 |
|  | BBI | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  | BSC(IT) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | BSC(Hos <br> p) | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | MCOM | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |

The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BA) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff.


Graph 27 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BA)

The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BCOM) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff.


Graph 28 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BCOM)

The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BMS) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff.


Graph 29 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BMS)
The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BMM/BAMMC) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff.


Graph 30 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BMM/BAMMC)

The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BAF) that the college includes more male teaching staff than female teaching staff.


Graph 31 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BAF)
The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BBI) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff.


Graph 32 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BBI)

The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BSC-IT) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff.


Graph 33 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BSC-IT)
The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (BSCHOSPITALITY) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff except for the year 2016-17 where the male teaching staff ratio is higher compared to the female staff ratio.


Graph 34 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (BSCHOSPITALITY)

The graph indicates from the gender wise details of teaching staff (MCOM) that the college has a higher ratio of female teaching staff to male teaching staff.


Graph 35 shows Gender wise details of Teaching Staff of the College (MCOM)

Gender wise details of Non-Teaching Staff of the College

|  | $2016-2017$ |  | $2017-2018$ |  | $2018-2019$ |  | $2019-2020$ |  | $2020-2021$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male | Fem <br> ale | Male <br> Non-Teaching Staff <br> Only Aided) | 9 |
| Percentage | 26 | 9 | 25 | 9 | 25 | 9 | 25 | 9 | 25 |  |  |
| $7 \%$ | 73.5 | 26.4 | 73.5 | 26.4 | 73.5 | 26.4 | 73.5 | 26.4 | 73.5 |  |  |
| $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Teaching Staff <br> (including mgmt. staff) | 17 | 39 | 17 | 42 | 17 | 41 | 17 | 40 | 17 | 39 |  |
| Percentage | 30.3 | 69.6 | 28.8 | 71.1 | 29.3 | 70.6 | 29.8 | 70.1 | 30.3 | 69.6 |  |
|  | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |

The graph indicates the Gender wise details of Non-Teaching Staff(Only Aided) with a higher ratio of male non-teaching staff (Only Aided) to female non- teaching staff (Only Aided)


Graph 36 shows Gender wise details of Non-Teaching Staff of the College

## Chapter 3 <br> Conclusion and Way Forward

The conclusion shows the gender equity goals within the institution through the policies, forums and programmes for the college and students reported that there is a good balance based on gender. This Gender Audit Report highlights the encouragement on gender equality and gender sensitivity by the management and the staff of the college as understood by the analysis.
> The enrolment of girls from all sections of society can be seen as increasing in numbers.
$>$ It has been deduced that the strength of the institution can be seen through the example of women in various leadership roles including our Principal, Vice Principals and Departmental Heads, Co-ordinators.
$>$ A variety of activities are organized and conducted by the different Cells and Departments in college aiming specifically towards girls and women to enhance their confidence in an educational environment and workplace.
> The University of Mumbai set up the University Women Development Cell (UWDC) in 2001 in response to the Vishaka judgment passed by the supreme court in 1997. The main objective of this cell is to make the University gender sensitive. The College Women Development Cell (CWDC) of the college is constituted as per the directive of University of Mumbai. Its main objective is to sensitise students to the issues related to gender.
$>$ Recommending more girls to enrol for sports activities.
$>$ In order to keep up with women's safety, there is a Girls Common Room provided with facilities including a place to rest, change and relax. The college also provides a place for recreation and gym along with separate washroom facilities for girl students.
> The entire campus is under the surveillance of fully functioning CCTV cameras for safety and security of students.
> The college also boasts of a female security guard who ensures additional security within the campus.
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